



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/064,998	09/06/2002	Arun Kumar Jaura	201-1225	1850
7590 Artz & Artz, P.C. 28333 Telegraph Road Suite 250 Southfield, MI 48034				
			EXAMINER COOLMAN, VAUGHN	
			ART UNIT 3618	PAPER NUMBER
			MAIL DATE 01/27/2009	DELIVERY MODE PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS
AND INTERFERENCES

Ex parte ARUN KUMAR JAURE, CHAN-WOO PARK,
MATTHEW DAVID HAMMOND and STEVEN GERALD THOMAS

Application No. 10/064,998
Technology Center: 3600

Mailed: January 27, 2009

Before GLORIA HENDERSON, *Review Team Paralegal*
HENDERSON, *Review Team Paralegal*.

This application was received electronically at the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences on June 14, 2008. A review of the application has revealed that the application is not ready for docketing as an appeal. Accordingly, the application is herewith being returned to the examiner. The matters requiring attention prior to docketing are identified below.

APPEAL BRIEF

On August 22, 2007, appellants filed an Appeal Brief. A review of the file reveals that Claims 1 and 9 are not consistent as amended in the Amendment filed February 26, 2007. Appropriate correction is required.

EXAMINER'S ANSWER

Also, the Examiner's Answer mailed October 12, 2007 is defective because it is missing the information involving claims 1 and 3-11 which are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a).

MPEP 1207.02 states in part:

(9) *Grounds of Rejection.* For each ground of rejection maintained by the examiner and each new ground of rejection (if any), an explanation of the ground of rejection.

Accordingly, it is

ORDERED that the application is being electronically returned to the Examiner:

- 1) 1) to hold the Appeal Brief filed August 22, 2007, defective;
- 2) notify appellants to file a Supplemental Appeal Brief with a correct copy of claims for the Claims Appendix;
- 3) consider the Supplemental Appeal Brief and if the Supplemental Appeal Brief is in compliance, issue and mail a Form PTOL-90 acknowledging receipt and consideration of the Supplement Brief;
- 4) vacate the Examiner's Answer mailed October 12, 2007, and mail a supplemental Examiner's Answer including the missing information involving claims 1 and 3-11 under the heading (9) Grounds of Rejection; and

Application No. 10/064,998

3) for such further action as may be appropriate.

If there are any questions pertaining to this Order, please contact the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences at 571-272-9797.

GJH

ARTZ & ARTZ, P.C.
28333 TELEGRAPH ROAD
SUITE 250
SOUTHFIELD, MI 48034